

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/030,066	BOHNEN ET AL.
	Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier	Art Unit 1712

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) C. James Bushman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 September 2004

**Time:** ~ 11:15 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none.*

Claims discussed:

*none.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*discussed the basis for claim 38 as an original claim 9 in the PCT application. Discussed the basis in the specification for non-aqueous organic solvent. Discussed aquioxide as the same as a hydrate.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)